



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,566	10/23/2003	Ravi Narasimhan	MP0337	3596
26200	7590	12/18/2006	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			TRAN, KHAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	12/18/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,566	NARASIMHAN, RAVI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KHAI TRAN	2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 sheets</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mody et al (U.S. Pat. 7,088,782).

Regarding claim 1, Mody et al disclose a method comprising: transmitting a first training symbol on a plurality of antennas, wherein the first training symbol comprises a plurality of data symbols (col. 5, line 54 to col. 6, line 15), wherein each of the plurality of data symbols corresponds to one of a plurality of tones, and wherein each of the plurality of antennas transmits corresponding ones of the plurality of data symbols (Figure 1, and see col. 8, lines 49-65, shows that the transmitter comprising a plurality of antennas for transmitting the training symbols to a receiver for performing time synchronization, frequency synchronization, and channel parameter estimation).

Regarding claim 2, Mody et al disclose the method further comprising transmitting step of transmitting a second training symbol (N_1) on the plurality of antennas (antenna 2), wherein the second training symbol comprising the plurality of data symbols in the first training symbol, and wherein each of the plurality of antennas

transmitting different ones of the plurality of data symbols than in the first training symbol (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Mody et al disclose wherein the first training symbol has a first pattern in which each of the plurality of antennas transmits one of a plurality of subsets of the plurality of data symbols, and wherein the second training symbol has a second pattern comprising a shifted pattern of the first pattern such that each of the plurality of antennas transmits a different one of the plurality of subsets than in the first training symbol (see col. 7, lines 7-27, showing Generally, such a known sequence of symbols is obtained from an alphabet which has its constituents on the unit circle in the complex domain and such that the resultant sequence in the time domain has a suitable Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR). An alphabet in communication systems is defined as a finite set of complex values that each of the symbols can assume. For example, an alphabet of a binary phase shift keying (BPSK) system consists of values +1 and -1 only. An alphabet for a quaternary phase shift keying (QPSK) system consists of the values $1+j$, $-1+j$, $1-j$, and $-1-j$. For example, the training sequence may be generated by modulating each of the tones of the OFDM block using a BPSK alphabet, which consists of symbols +1 and -1. The synchronization scheme may be very general such that any known sequence having suitable properties, such as low PAPR, may be used to form the training sequence).

Regarding 4, Mody et al does not disclose wherein the plurality of antennas comprises N antennas, and further comprising transmitting N-1 training symbols after the first training symbol, Mody et al disclose a the plurality of N- antennas as shown in

Figure 1. Therefore, transmitting N-1 training symbols after the first training symbol is inherent in the teaching of Mody et al.

Regarding claims 5-6, Mody et al disclose the transmitting each of the training symbols (or the first training symbol) at least two times (see Figure 4).

Regarding claim 7, Mody et al disclose wherein the plurality of data symbols in the first training symbol are transmitted simultaneously on the plurality of antennas (col. 9, lines 36-43).

Regarding claim 8, Mody et al disclose wherein each of the plurality of antennas transmits the corresponding ones of the plurality of data symbols on corresponding ones of the plurality of tones and transmit null symbols on the other tones (col. 10, line 57 to col. 11, line 5).

Regarding claim 9, Mody et al disclose wherein the first training symbol comprises an OFMD (orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing) training symbol (col. 9, lines 51-60).

Regarding claim 10, Mody et al disclose a receiver 10 for receiving the transmitted signal from the transmitter 8. The limitations of the claim 10 are similar to claim 1. Mody et al further disclose a step of determining a gain at each of the plurality of antennas for each of the plurality of tones (col. 12, lines 52-65).

Regarding claim 11, Mody et al disclose wherein the determining comprises for each of the plurality of antennas, interpolating values for a plurality of the tones from the corresponding subset of the plurality of data symbols received from the antenna (col. 6, lines 1-15).

Claim 12 is similar to claim 4. Therefore, claim 12 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 13 is similar to claim 3. Therefore, claim 13 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 14, Mody et al disclose a step of receiving each of the plurality of data symbols from each the plurality of antennas (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 15, Mody et al disclose a step of performing an Invert Fourier transform on the plurality of data symbols received from each of the plurality of antennas (col. 7, lines 57-67).

Claim 16 is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 16 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 17 is similar to claims 3-4. Therefore, claim 17 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 18, Mody et al disclose the preamble structure comprising a preamble structure for an NxN Multi-In-Multi-Out (MIMO) system (see Fig. 1).

Claim 19 is similar to claim 9. Therefore, claim 19 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 20 is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 21 is similar to claim 2. Therefore, claim 21 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 22 is similar to claim 3. Therefore, claim 22 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 23-28 are similar to claims 4-9. Therefore, claims 23-28 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 29-34 are similar to claims 10-34. Therefore, claims 29-34 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 35-43 are similar to claims 1-9. Therefore, claims 35-43 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 44-49 are similar to claims 10-15. Therefore, claims 44-49 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 50-58 are similar to claims 1-9. Therefore, claims 50-59 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 59-64 are similar to claims 10-15. Therefore, claims 59-64 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 65-73 are similar to claims 1 (10), 2, 3, 4, 13-19. Therefore, claims 65-73 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 74-82 are similar to claims 65-73. Therefore, claims 74-82 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Li (US 2003/0021332 A1) discloses a channel estimation for wireless system with multiple transmit antennas.

Oprea (US 2004/0190636 A1) discloses a system and method for wireless communication systems.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Khai Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611 